

Demand and supply of differentiated products

Paul Schrimpf

UBC
Economics 567

February 4, 2025

Part I

Implementation

Computational issues

- Non-convex optimization problems are almost always difficult to solve, this is no exception
- Nested iteration can be problematic
 - Solve for $\delta(\theta)$:

```
while norm(T(delta) - delta) > tolerance1 { delta
```
 - Minimize

```
while norm(theta - thetaOld) > tolTheta && norm(f
  thetaOld = theta
  fold = f
  // update theta by e.g. newton's method, set f =
}
```
 - Error in δ can lead to error in minimization
 - Error in δ is not a continuous with respect to θ (where changing θ changes number of iterations)

- Conlon and Gortmaker (2020), Conlon and Gortmaker (2023)
- Most used and feature complete implementation
- <https://pyblp.readthedocs.io/en/stable/introduction.html>

Nevo

- Popular code provided by [Nevo \(2000\)](#)
- Requires: Matlab, optimization toolbox
- Nevo's code does not run in current version of Matlab, but [Rasmusen \(2006\)](#) update does
- Code runs in Octave after changing `fminsearch` to another optimization routine
- Worked on by three people
- Used by at least six other papers (see [Knittel and Metaxoglou \(2014\)](#) footnote 5 for list)
- Fast for data provided

Nevo - issues

- Minimization difficult and not robust
 - Starting value
 - Algorithm
 - Tolerance for finding δ (Dubé, Fox, and Su (2012b) show loose tolerance affects estimates)
 - Knittel and Metaxoglou (2014) algorithms often stop at point where first and/or second order conditions fail
 - Knittel and Metaxoglou (2014) differences among convergence points economically significant

Dubé, Fox, and Su (2012b) 1

- Fixed point iteration to compute δ messes up GMM minimization; also is not best method for finding δ
 - Table 1: shows problem is too large a tolerance. NFP gives good estimates when tolerances are tight
- Can recast problem as constrained minimization

$$\min_{\theta, \delta} \sum_{\ell} \left(\frac{1}{JT} \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{t=1}^T \xi_{jt}(\theta, \delta) f_{\ell}(w_t) \right)^2$$

subject to

$$\hat{s} = \sigma(\cdot; \theta, \delta)$$

- Su and Judd (2012): “mathematical programming with equilibrium constraints” (MPEC)
- Use state of the art algorithm to solve constrained minimization

Dubé, Fox, and Su (2012b) 2

- Solvers work best with accurate (i.e. not finite difference) derivatives—supplying 1st and 2nd order derivatives makes algorithm take approximately 1/3 as long as with just 1st order (Dubé, Fox, and Su, 2012a)
- Gains from exploiting sparsity of Jacobian of constraints and Hessian of objective function

Dubé, Fox, and Su (2012b) code

- Code requires: Matlab, KNITRO
- KNITRO proprietary, free version limited to 300 variables & constraints
- KNITRO can be replaced with other optimization algorithm, but others do not seem to work as well:
 - IPOPT uses similar algorithm, but I had trouble installing
 - NLOPT has no interior point algorithm, its algorithms do not seem to deal with nonlinear constraints very well
 - Skrainka (2012) uses SNOPT, which is similar algorithm to NLOPT's SLSQP
- Runs in Octave with KNITRO replaced by NLOPT

Observations

- High quality commercial solver appears necessary; my attempts with NLOPT fail and take longer
 - [Skrainka \(2012\)](#) uses SNOPT instead of KNITRO
- KNITRO and SNOPT not perfect
 - Still sensitive to starting values
 - MPEC replaces a contraction – a problem we know we can solve – with constraints that may make the optimization harder
 - [Reynaerts, Varadhan, and Nash \(2012\)](#) give method to improve accuracy and speed of computing δ
 - [Dubé, Fox, and Su \(2012a\)](#) using nested fixed point requires fewer solver iterations than MPEC, but takes as long or longer because of time spend solving for δ (can be much longer if contraction mapping is slow)
- [Reynaert and Verboven \(2014\)](#): using optimal instruments makes optimization more robust

References about implementation

- **Overviews**
 - Nevo (2000)
 - Dubé, Fox, and Su (2012b), Dubé, Fox, and Su (2012a)
 - Knittel and Metaxoglou (2014)
 - Skrainka (2012)
- **Particular issues**
 - Skrainka and Judd (2011): integration
 - Reynaerts, Varadhan, and Nash (2012): solving for δ
- Course on discrete choice models with simulation by Kenneth Train <http://elsa.berkeley.edu/users/train/distant.html>
- Bayesian: Jiang, Manchanda, and Rossi (2009), Brian Viard, Gron, and Polson (2014), Sun and Ishihara (2013)
- Overview of optimization methods and software Leyffer and Mahajan (2010)

Section 1

Fosgerau, Monardo, and de Palma (2024)

The Inverse Product Differentiation Logit Model

- Fosgerau, Monardo, and de Palma (2024)
- Flexible demand model with closed form inverse share
- Generalization of nested logit
- Faster, more stable computation

Setup

- Typical demand models (logit, nest logit, random coefficients logit) have:

- 1 Linear index of average product desirability:

$$\overbrace{\delta_{jt}}^{\text{product}} = \overbrace{x_{jt}\beta}^{\text{product characteristics}} - \underbrace{\alpha p_{jt}}_{\text{price}} + \underbrace{\xi_{jt}}_{\text{demand shock}}$$

- 2 Share equations:

$$s_{jt} = \overbrace{\sigma_j(\delta_t; \theta_2)}^{\text{known share function}}$$

additional parameters

Example Share Functions

- Logit:

$$s_{jt} = \frac{e^{\delta_{jt}}}{\sum_{k=0^J} e^{\delta_{kt}}}$$

- Random coefficients logit:

$$s_{jt} = \int \frac{e^{\delta_{jt} + x_{jt}\Sigma v}}{\sum_{k=0^J} e^{\delta_{kt} + x_{kt}\Sigma v}} dF(v)$$

- Nested logit:

$$s_{jt} = \frac{\exp\left(\mu \log\left(\sum_{k \in \mathcal{G}(j)} e^{\delta_{kt}}\right)\right)}{\sum_{\mathcal{G}} \exp\left(\mu \log\left(\sum_{k \in \mathcal{G}} e^{\delta_{kt}}\right)\right)} \frac{e^{\delta_{jt}}}{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{G}(j)} e^{\delta_{kt}}}$$

Nest(ed) Logit is Invertible

- Logit inverse share

$$\delta_{jt} = \log(s_{jt}) - \log(s_{0t})$$

- Mixed logit inverse share

$$\delta_{jt} = (1 - \mu) \log(s_{jt}) + \mu \log\left(\sum_{k \in \mathcal{G}(j)} s_{kt}\right) - \log(s_{0t})$$

- Can estimate by linear IV

$$\log(s_{jt}/s_{0t}) = x_{jt}\beta - p_{jt}\alpha + \mu \log\left(\sum_{k \in \mathcal{G}(j)} s_{kt}\right) + \xi_{jt}$$

Inverse Product Differentiation Logit

- D discrete product characteristics define partitions / groups
- $d(j) \subseteq \{1, \dots, J\}$ = products in same group as j in partition d
- Specify inverse share

$$\sigma_j^{-1}(\mathbf{s}_t; \theta_2) = \left(1 - \sum_{d=1}^D \mu_d \right) \log(s_{jt}) + \sum_{d=1}^D \mu_d \log\left(\overbrace{s_{d(j)t}}^{\equiv \sum_{k \in d(j)} s_{kt}} \right) - \log(s_{0t})$$

IPDL Properties

- Inverse share has closed form, but share does not
- Can be micro founded from model of consumers choosing shares (not discrete choice)
 - Allows complements
- Simulations indicate it “accommodates similar consumer heterogeneity as the RCL model with independent normal random coefficients on dummies for groups defined by market segmentation”

IPDL Estimation

$$\log(s_{jt}/s_{0t}) = x_{jt}\beta - p_{jt}\alpha + \sum_{d=1}^D \mu_d \log\left(\frac{s_{jt}}{s_{d(j)t}}\right) + \xi_{jt}$$

- Need instruments for price and share terms (at least $1 + D$ instruments)
-

Simulations

- See paper

Section 2

References

- Brian Viard, V., Anne Gron, and Nicholas G. Polson. 2014. "Bayesian estimation of nonlinear equilibrium models with random coefficients." *Applied Stochastic Models in Business and Industry* :n/a–n/aURL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/asmb.2036>.
- Conlon, Christopher and Jeff Gortmaker. 2020. "Best practices for differentiated products demand estimation with PyBLP." *The RAND Journal of Economics* 51 (4):1108–1161. URL <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/1756-2171.12352>.
- . 2023. "Incorporating micro data into differentiated products demand estimation with PyBLP." Working paper.
- Dubé, B.Y.J.P., J.T. Fox, and C. Su. 2012a. "Supplement to "improving the numerical performance of static and dynamic aggregate discrete choice random coefficients demand estimation"." *Econometrica* 80 (5):2231–2267. URL <http://www-personal.umich.edu/~jtfox/published-papers/ecta8585supp.pdf>.

Dubé, J.P., J.T. Fox, and C.L. Su. 2012b. “Improving the Numerical Performance of Static and Dynamic Aggregate Discrete Choice Random Coefficients Demand Estimation.” *Econometrica* 80 (5):2231–2267. URL <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.3982/ECTA8585/abstract>.

Fosgerau, Mogens, Julien Monardo, and André de Palma. 2024. “The Inverse Product Differentiation Logit Model.” *American Economic Journal: Microeconomics* 16 (4):329–70. URL <https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/mic.20210066>.

Jiang, R., P. Manchanda, and P.E. Rossi. 2009. “Bayesian analysis of random coefficient logit models using aggregate data.” *Journal of Econometrics* 149 (2):136–148. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304407608002297>.

- Knittel, Christopher R and Konstantinos Metaxoglou. 2014. "Estimation of Random-Coefficient Demand Models: Two Empiricists' Perspective." Tech. Rep. 1. URL <http://dspace.mit.edu/openaccess-disseminate/1721.1/87587>.
- Leyffer, Sven and Ashutosh Mahajan. 2010. "Nonlinear constrained optimization: methods and software." *Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439*. URL <http://wiki.mcs.anl.gov/leyffer/images/7/75/NLP-Solvers.pdf>.
- Nevo, A. 2000. "A Practitioner's Guide to Estimation of Random-Coefficients Logit Models of Demand." *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy* 9 (4):513-548.
- Rasmusen, Eric. 2006. "The BLP Method of Demand Curve Estimation in Industrial Organization." URL <http://www.rasmusen.org/zg604/lectures/blp-rasmusen.pdf>.

- Reynaert, Mathias and Frank Verboven. 2014. "Improving the performance of random coefficients demand models: The role of optimal instruments." *Journal of Econometrics* 179 (1):83 – 98. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304407613002649>.
- Reynaerts, J., R. Varadhan, and J.C. Nash. 2012. "Enhancing the Convergence Properties of the BLP (1995) Contraction Mapping." Tech. rep., Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfswetenschappen, Vives. URL http://www.econ.kuleuven.be/vives/PUBLICATIES/DP/DP2012/VIVES_Discussion_Paper_35.pdf.
- Skrainka, B. 2012. "A Large Scale Study of the Small Sample Performance of Random Coefficient Models of Demand." Available at SSRN. URL http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1942627.

- Skrainka, B. and K. Judd. 2011. "High performance quadrature rules: How numerical integration affects a popular model of product differentiation." Available at SSRN 1870703. URL http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1870703.
- Su, C.L. and K.L. Judd. 2012. "Constrained optimization approaches to estimation of structural models." *Econometrica* 80 (5):2213–2230. URL <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.3982/ECTA7925/abstract>.
- Sun, Yutec and Masakazu Ishihara. 2013. "A Computationally Efficient Fixed Point Approach to Structural Estimation of Aggregate Demand." *Rotman School of Management Working Paper* (2140617). URL <http://www.eea-esem.com/files/papers/EEA-ESEM/2013/503/paper.pdf>.