

Economics 326
Methods of Empirical Research in Economics
Lecture 5: Gauss-Markov Theorem

Vadim Marmer
University of British Columbia

January 27, 2011

There are many alternatives estimators

- ▶ The OLS estimator is not the only estimator we can construct. There are alternative estimators with some desirable properties.

There are many alternatives estimators

- ▶ The OLS estimator is not the only estimator we can construct. There are alternative estimators with some desirable properties.
- ▶ Example: Using only the first two observations, suppose that $X_2 \neq X_1$.

There are many alternatives estimators

- ▶ The OLS estimator is not the only estimator we can construct. There are alternative estimators with some desirable properties.
- ▶ Example: Using only the first two observations, suppose that $X_2 \neq X_1$.

$$\tilde{\beta} = \frac{Y_2 - Y_1}{X_2 - X_1}.$$

There are many alternative estimators

- ▶ The OLS estimator is not the only estimator we can construct. There are alternative estimators with some desirable properties.
- ▶ Example: Using only the first two observations, suppose that $X_2 \neq X_1$.

$$\tilde{\beta} = \frac{Y_2 - Y_1}{X_2 - X_1}.$$

- ▶ $\tilde{\beta}$ is linear:

$$\tilde{\beta} = c_1 Y_1 + c_2 Y_2,$$

where

$$c_1 = -\frac{1}{X_2 - X_1} \text{ and } c_2 = \frac{1}{X_2 - X_1}.$$

Unbiasedness of $\tilde{\beta}$

- ▶ If $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + U_i$ and $E(U_i | X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$, then $\tilde{\beta}$ is unbiased:

Unbiasedness of $\tilde{\beta}$

- ▶ If $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + U_i$ and $E(U_i | X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$, then $\tilde{\beta}$ is unbiased:

$$\tilde{\beta} = \frac{Y_2 - Y_1}{X_2 - X_1}$$

Unbiasedness of $\tilde{\beta}$

- ▶ If $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + U_i$ and $E(U_i | X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$, then $\tilde{\beta}$ is unbiased:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta} &= \frac{Y_2 - Y_1}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha + \beta X_2 + U_2) - (\alpha + \beta X_1 + U_1)}{X_2 - X_1}\end{aligned}$$

Unbiasedness of $\tilde{\beta}$

- ▶ If $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + U_i$ and $E(U_i | X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$, then $\tilde{\beta}$ is unbiased:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta} &= \frac{Y_2 - Y_1}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha + \beta X_2 + U_2) - (\alpha + \beta X_1 + U_1)}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \frac{\beta(X_2 - X_1)}{X_2 - X_1} + \frac{U_2 - U_1}{X_2 - X_1}\end{aligned}$$

Unbiasedness of $\tilde{\beta}$

- If $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + U_i$ and $E(U_i | X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$, then $\tilde{\beta}$ is unbiased:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta} &= \frac{Y_2 - Y_1}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha + \beta X_2 + U_2) - (\alpha + \beta X_1 + U_1)}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \frac{\beta(X_2 - X_1)}{X_2 - X_1} + \frac{U_2 - U_1}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \beta + \frac{U_2 - U_1}{X_2 - X_1},\end{aligned}$$

Unbiasedness of $\tilde{\beta}$

- If $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + U_i$ and $E(U_i | X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$, then $\tilde{\beta}$ is unbiased:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta} &= \frac{Y_2 - Y_1}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha + \beta X_2 + U_2) - (\alpha + \beta X_1 + U_1)}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \frac{\beta(X_2 - X_1)}{X_2 - X_1} + \frac{U_2 - U_1}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \beta + \frac{U_2 - U_1}{X_2 - X_1}, \text{ and}\end{aligned}$$

$$E(\tilde{\beta} | X_1, X_2) = \beta + E\left(\frac{U_2 - U_1}{X_2 - X_1} | X_1, X_2\right)$$

Unbiasedness of $\tilde{\beta}$

- If $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + U_i$ and $E(U_i | X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$, then $\tilde{\beta}$ is unbiased:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta} &= \frac{Y_2 - Y_1}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha + \beta X_2 + U_2) - (\alpha + \beta X_1 + U_1)}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \frac{\beta(X_2 - X_1)}{X_2 - X_1} + \frac{U_2 - U_1}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \beta + \frac{U_2 - U_1}{X_2 - X_1}, \text{ and}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}E(\tilde{\beta} | X_1, X_2) &= \beta + E\left(\frac{U_2 - U_1}{X_2 - X_1} | X_1, X_2\right) \\ &= \beta + \frac{E(U_2 | X_1, X_2) - E(U_1 | X_1, X_2)}{X_2 - X_1}\end{aligned}$$

Unbiasedness of $\tilde{\beta}$

- If $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + U_i$ and $E(U_i | X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$, then $\tilde{\beta}$ is unbiased:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta} &= \frac{Y_2 - Y_1}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha + \beta X_2 + U_2) - (\alpha + \beta X_1 + U_1)}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \frac{\beta(X_2 - X_1)}{X_2 - X_1} + \frac{U_2 - U_1}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \beta + \frac{U_2 - U_1}{X_2 - X_1}, \text{ and}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}E(\tilde{\beta} | X_1, X_2) &= \beta + E\left(\frac{U_2 - U_1}{X_2 - X_1} | X_1, X_2\right) \\ &= \beta + \frac{E(U_2 | X_1, X_2) - E(U_1 | X_1, X_2)}{X_2 - X_1} \\ &= \beta.\end{aligned}$$

An optimality criterion

- ▶ Among all **linear** and **unbiased** estimators, an estimator with the **smallest variance** is called the **Best Linear Unbiased Estimator (BLUE)**.

An optimality criterion

- ▶ Among all **linear** and **unbiased** estimators, an estimator with the **smallest variance** is called the **Best Linear Unbiased Estimator (BLUE)**.
- ▶ Note that the statement is **conditional** on X 's:

An optimality criterion

- ▶ Among all **linear** and **unbiased** estimators, an estimator with the **smallest variance** is called the **Best Linear Unbiased Estimator (BLUE)**.
- ▶ Note that the statement is **conditional** on X 's:
 - ▶ The estimators are **unbiased** conditionally on X 's.

An optimality criterion

- ▶ Among all **linear** and **unbiased** estimators, an estimator with the **smallest variance** is called the **Best Linear Unbiased Estimator (BLUE)**.
- ▶ Note that the statement is **conditional** on X 's:
 - ▶ The estimators are **unbiased** conditionally on X 's.
 - ▶ The **variance** is conditional on X 's.

Gauss-Markov Theorem

Suppose that

Gauss-Markov Theorem

Suppose that

- ▶ $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + U_i.$

Gauss-Markov Theorem

Suppose that

- ▶ $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + U_i$.
- ▶ $E(U_i | X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$.

Gauss-Markov Theorem

Suppose that

- ▶ $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + U_i$.
- ▶ $E(U_i | X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$.
- ▶ $E(U_i^2 | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sigma^2$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$
(homoskedasticity).

Gauss-Markov Theorem

Suppose that

- ▶ $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + U_i$.
- ▶ $E(U_i | X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$.
- ▶ $E(U_i^2 | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sigma^2$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ (homoskedasticity).
- ▶ For all $i \neq j$, $E(U_i U_j | X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$.

Gauss-Markov Theorem

Suppose that

- ▶ $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + U_i$.
- ▶ $E(U_i | X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$.
- ▶ $E(U_i^2 | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sigma^2$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$
(homoskedasticity).
- ▶ For all $i \neq j$, $E(U_i U_j | X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$.

Then, conditionally on X 's, the OLS estimators are BLUE.

Gauss-Markov Theorem

- ▶ We already know that the OLS estimator $\hat{\beta}$ is linear and unbiased (conditionally on X 's).

Gauss-Markov Theorem

- ▶ We already know that the OLS estimator $\hat{\beta}$ is linear and unbiased (conditionally on X 's).
- ▶ Let $\tilde{\beta}$ be any other estimator of β such that

Gauss-Markov Theorem

- ▶ We already know that the OLS estimator $\hat{\beta}$ is linear and unbiased (conditionally on X 's).
- ▶ Let $\tilde{\beta}$ be any other estimator of β such that
 - ▶ $\tilde{\beta}$ is linear:

Gauss-Markov Theorem

- ▶ We already know that the OLS estimator $\hat{\beta}$ is linear and unbiased (conditionally on X 's).
- ▶ Let $\tilde{\beta}$ be any other estimator of β such that
 - ▶ $\tilde{\beta}$ is linear:

$$\tilde{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i,$$

where c 's depend only on X 's.

Gauss-Markov Theorem

- ▶ We already know that the OLS estimator $\hat{\beta}$ is linear and unbiased (conditionally on X 's).
- ▶ Let $\tilde{\beta}$ be any other estimator of β such that
 - ▶ $\tilde{\beta}$ is linear:

$$\tilde{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i,$$

where c 's depend only on X 's.

- ▶ $\tilde{\beta}$ is unbiased:

$$E\tilde{\beta} = \beta,$$

where expectation is conditional on X 's.

- ▶ We need to show that for **any** such $\tilde{\beta} \neq \hat{\beta}$,

$$\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) > \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}),$$

where the variance is conditional on X 's.

An outline of the proof

1. First, we are going to show that the c 's in $\tilde{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i$ satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i = 1$.

An outline of the proof

1. First, we are going to show that the c 's in $\tilde{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i$ satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i = 1$.
2. Using the results of Step 1, we will show that conditionally on X 's, $\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\beta})$.

An outline of the proof

1. First, we are going to show that the c 's in $\tilde{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i$ satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i = 1$.
2. Using the results of Step 1, we will show that conditionally on X 's, $\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\beta})$.
3. Using the results of Step 2, we will show that conditionally on X 's, $\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) \geq \text{Var}(\hat{\beta})$.

An outline of the proof

1. First, we are going to show that the c 's in $\tilde{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i$ satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i = 1$.
2. Using the results of Step 1, we will show that conditionally on X 's, $\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\beta})$.
3. Using the results of Step 2, we will show that conditionally on X 's, $\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) \geq \text{Var}(\hat{\beta})$.
4. Lastly, we will show that $\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\beta})$ if and only if $\tilde{\beta} = \hat{\beta}$.

Proof: Step 1

- ▶ Since $\tilde{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i$,

Proof: Step 1

- ▶ Since $\tilde{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i$,

$$\tilde{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (\alpha + \beta X_i + U_i)$$

Proof: Step 1

- ▶ Since $\tilde{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta} &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (\alpha + \beta X_i + U_i) \\ &= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i U_i.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Conditionally on X 's,

Proof: Step 1

- ▶ Since $\tilde{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta} &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (\alpha + \beta X_i + U_i) \\ &= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i U_i.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Conditionally on X 's,

$$E\tilde{\beta} = E\left(\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i U_i\right)$$

Proof: Step 1

- ▶ Since $\tilde{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta} &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (\alpha + \beta X_i + U_i) \\ &= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i U_i.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Conditionally on X 's,

$$\begin{aligned}E\tilde{\beta} &= E\left(\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i U_i\right) \\ &= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i EU_i\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Step 1

- ▶ Since $\tilde{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta} &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (\alpha + \beta X_i + U_i) \\ &= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i U_i.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Conditionally on X 's,

$$\begin{aligned}E\tilde{\beta} &= E\left(\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i U_i\right) \\ &= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i E U_i \\ &= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i.\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Step 1

- ▶ From the [linearity](#) we have that, conditionally on X 's,

$$E\tilde{\beta} = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i.$$

Proof: Step 1

- ▶ From the **linearity** we have that, conditionally on X 's,

$$E\tilde{\beta} = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i.$$

- ▶ From the **unbiasedness** we have that conditionally on X 's,

$$\beta = E\tilde{\beta} = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i.$$

Proof: Step 1

- ▶ From the **linearity** we have that, conditionally on X 's,

$$E\tilde{\beta} = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i.$$

- ▶ From the **unbiasedness** we have that conditionally on X 's,

$$\beta = E\tilde{\beta} = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i.$$

- ▶ Since this has to be true for **any** α and β ,

Proof: Step 1

- ▶ From the **linearity** we have that, conditionally on X 's,

$$E\tilde{\beta} = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i.$$

- ▶ From the **unbiasedness** we have that conditionally on X 's,

$$\beta = E\tilde{\beta} = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i.$$

- ▶ Since this has to be true for **any** α and β , it follows now that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i &= 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Step 2

► We have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta} &= \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i U_i, \text{ with } \sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 0, \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i = 1. \\ \hat{\beta} &= \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n w_i U_i, \text{ with } w_i = \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Step 2

- ▶ We have

$$\tilde{\beta} = \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i U_i, \text{ with } \sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 0, \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i = 1.$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n w_i U_i, \text{ with } w_i = \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2}.$$

- ▶ Conditionally on X 's,

$$\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = E[(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)(\hat{\beta} - \beta)]$$

Proof: Step 2

- ▶ We have

$$\tilde{\beta} = \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i U_i, \text{ with } \sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 0, \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i = 1.$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n w_i U_i, \text{ with } w_i = \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2}.$$

- ▶ Conditionally on X 's,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) &= E [(\tilde{\beta} - \beta) (\hat{\beta} - \beta)] \\ &= E \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i U_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i U_i \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Step 2

- ▶ We have

$$\tilde{\beta} = \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i U_i, \text{ with } \sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 0, \sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i = 1.$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n w_i U_i, \text{ with } w_i = \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2}.$$

- ▶ Conditionally on X 's,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) &= E[(\tilde{\beta} - \beta)(\hat{\beta} - \beta)] \\ &= E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i U_i\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i U_i\right)\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i E(U_i^2) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} c_i w_j E(U_i U_j). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Step 2

$$\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i E(U_i^2) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} c_i w_j E(U_i U_j).$$

Proof: Step 2

$$\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i E(U_i^2) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} c_i w_j E(U_i U_j).$$

- Since $E(U_i^2) = \sigma^2$ for all i 's:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i E(U_i^2) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i.$$

Proof: Step 2

$$\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i E(U_i^2) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} c_i w_j E(U_i U_j).$$

- ▶ Since $E(U_i^2) = \sigma^2$ for all i 's:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i E(U_i^2) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i.$$

- ▶ Since $E(U_i U_j) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} c_i w_j E(U_i U_j) = 0.$$

Proof: Step 2

$$\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i E(U_i^2) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} c_i w_j E(U_i U_j).$$

- ▶ Since $E(U_i^2) = \sigma^2$ for all i 's:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i E(U_i^2) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i.$$

- ▶ Since $E(U_i U_j) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} c_i w_j E(U_i U_j) = 0.$$

- ▶ Thus,

$$\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i.$$

Proof: Step 2

Conditionally on X 's:

$$\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i \text{ and } w_i = \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2}.$$

Proof: Step 2

Conditionally on X 's:

$$\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i \text{ and } w_i = \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2}.$$

$$\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2}$$

Proof: Step 2

Conditionally on X 's:

$$\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i \text{ and } w_i = \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) &= \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2} \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (X_i - \bar{X}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Step 2

Conditionally on X 's:

$$\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i \text{ and } w_i = \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) &= \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2} \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (X_i - \bar{X}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i - \bar{X} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Step 2

Conditionally on X 's:

$$\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i \text{ and } w_i = \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) &= \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2} \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (X_i - \bar{X}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i - \bar{X} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2} (1 + \bar{X} \cdot 0) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Step 2

Conditionally on X 's:

$$\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i \text{ and } w_i = \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) &= \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2} \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (X_i - \bar{X}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i X_i - \bar{X} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2} (1 + \bar{X} \cdot 0) \\ &= \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Step 3

- ▶ We know now that for any **linear** and **unbiased** $\tilde{\beta}$,

$$\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}).$$

Proof: Step 3

- ▶ We know now that for any **linear** and **unbiased** $\tilde{\beta}$,

$$\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}).$$

- ▶ Let's consider $\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta})$:

Proof: Step 3

- ▶ We know now that for any linear and unbiased $\tilde{\beta}$,

$$\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}).$$

- ▶ Let's consider $\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta})$:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) &= \text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) + \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) - 2\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) \\ &= \text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) + \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) - 2\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) \\ &= \text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) - \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}).\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Step 3

- ▶ We know now that for any linear and unbiased $\tilde{\beta}$,

$$\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}).$$

- ▶ Let's consider $\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta})$:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) &= \text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) + \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) - 2\text{Cov}(\tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) \\ &= \text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) + \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) - 2\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) \\ &= \text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) - \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}).\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ But since $\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) \geq 0$,

$$\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) - \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) \geq 0$$

or

$$\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) \geq \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}).$$

Proof: Step 4 (Uniqueness)

Suppose that $\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\beta})$.

Proof: Step 4 (Uniqueness)

Suppose that $\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\beta})$.

► Then,

$$\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) = \text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) - \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = 0.$$

Proof: Step 4 (Uniqueness)

Suppose that $\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\beta})$.

▶ Then,

$$\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) = \text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) - \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = 0.$$

▶ Thus, $\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}$ is not random or

$$\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta} = \text{constant}.$$

Proof: Step 4 (Uniqueness)

Suppose that $\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\beta})$.

- ▶ Then,

$$\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) = \text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) - \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = 0.$$

- ▶ Thus, $\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}$ is not random or

$$\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta} = \text{constant}.$$

- ▶ This constant also has to be zero because

$$\begin{aligned} E\tilde{\beta} &= E\hat{\beta} + \text{constant} \\ &= \beta + \text{constant}, \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Step 4 (Uniqueness)

Suppose that $\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\beta})$.

- ▶ Then,

$$\text{Var}(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) = \text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) - \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = 0.$$

- ▶ Thus, $\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}$ is not random or

$$\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta} = \text{constant}.$$

- ▶ This constant also has to be zero because

$$\begin{aligned} E\tilde{\beta} &= E\hat{\beta} + \text{constant} \\ &= \beta + \text{constant}, \end{aligned}$$

and in order for $\tilde{\beta}$ to be unbiased

$$\text{constant}=0 \text{ or } \tilde{\beta} = \hat{\beta}.$$