

Economics 326  
Methods of Empirical Research in Economics  
Lecture 9: Hypothesis testing (Part 2)

Vadim Marmer  
University of British Columbia

February 10, 2011

## The two-sided $t$ -test

---

- ▶ We are testing  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 \neq \beta_{1,0}$ .

## The two-sided $t$ -test

- ▶ We are testing  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 \neq \beta_{1,0}$ .
- ▶ When  $\sigma^2$  is **unknown**, we replace it with  $s^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{U}_i^2$

## The two-sided $t$ -test

- ▶ We are testing  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 \neq \beta_{1,0}$ .
- ▶ When  $\sigma^2$  is **unknown**, we replace it with  $s^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{U}_i^2$ .
- ▶ The  **$t$ -statistic**:

$$T = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\frac{s^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}}}.$$

## The two-sided $t$ -test

- ▶ We are testing  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 \neq \beta_{1,0}$ .
- ▶ When  $\sigma^2$  is **unknown**, we replace it with  $s^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{U}_i^2$ .
- ▶ The  **$t$ -statistic**:

$$T = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\frac{s^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}}}$$

- ▶ We also replace the standard normal critical values  $z_{1-\alpha/2}$  with the  $t_{n-2}$  critical values  $t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2}$ .

## The two-sided $t$ -test

- ▶ We are testing  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 \neq \beta_{1,0}$ .
- ▶ When  $\sigma^2$  is **unknown**, we replace it with  $s^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{U}_i^2$ .
- ▶ The  **$t$ -statistic**:

$$T = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\frac{s^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}}}$$

- ▶ We also replace the standard normal critical values  $z_{1-\alpha/2}$  with the  $t_{n-2}$  critical values  $t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2}$ .  
However, **for large  $n$** ,  $t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2} \approx z_{1-\alpha/2}$ .

## The two-sided $t$ -test

- ▶ We are testing  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 \neq \beta_{1,0}$ .
- ▶ When  $\sigma^2$  is **unknown**, we replace it with  $s^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{U}_i^2$ .
- ▶ The  **$t$ -statistic**:

$$T = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\frac{s^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}}}$$

- ▶ We also replace the standard normal critical values  $z_{1-\alpha/2}$  with the  $t_{n-2}$  critical values  $t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2}$ . However, **for large  $n$** ,  $t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2} \approx z_{1-\alpha/2}$ .
- ▶ The two-sided  **$t$ -test**:

Reject  $H_0$  when  $|T| > t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2}$ .

## The two-sided $p$ -value

---

- ▶ The decision to accept or reject  $H_0$  depends on the critical value  $t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2}$ .

## The two-sided $p$ -value

- ▶ The decision to accept or reject  $H_0$  depends on the critical value  $t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2}$ .
- ▶ If  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$  then  $t_{1-\alpha_1/2} < t_{1-\alpha_2/2}$ .

## The two-sided $p$ -value

- ▶ The decision to accept or reject  $H_0$  depends on the critical value  $t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2}$ .
- ▶ If  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$  then  $t_{1-\alpha_1/2} < t_{1-\alpha_2/2}$ .
- ▶ Thus, it is easier to reject  $H_0$  with the significance level  $\alpha_1$  since it corresponds to a smaller acceptance region.

## The two-sided $p$ -value

- ▶ The decision to accept or reject  $H_0$  depends on the critical value  $t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2}$ .
- ▶ If  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$  then  $t_{1-\alpha_1/2} < t_{1-\alpha_2/2}$ .
- ▶ Thus, it is easier to reject  $H_0$  with the significance level  $\alpha_1$  since it corresponds to a smaller acceptance region.
- ▶  $p$ -value is the **smallest** significance level  $\alpha$  for which we can reject  $H_0$ .

## The two-sided $p$ -value

---

- ▶ In order to find  $p$ -value:

## The two-sided $p$ -value

---

- ▶ In order to find  $p$ -value:
  1. Compute  $T$ .

## The two-sided $p$ -value

- ▶ In order to find  $p$ -value:
  1. Compute  $T$ .
  2. Find  $\tau$  such that  $|T| = t_{n-2, 1-\tau}$ .

## The two-sided $p$ -value

- ▶ In order to find  $p$ -value:
  1. Compute  $T$ .
  2. Find  $\tau$  such that  $|T| = t_{n-2, 1-\tau}$ .
  3. The  $p$ -value =  $\tau \times 2$ .

## The two-sided $p$ -value

- ▶ In order to find  $p$ -value:
  1. Compute  $T$ .
  2. Find  $\tau$  such that  $|T| = t_{n-2, 1-\tau}$ .
  3. The  $p$ -value =  $\tau \times 2$ .
- ▶ Note that for all  $\alpha > p$ -value,

## The two-sided $p$ -value

- ▶ In order to find  $p$ -value:
  1. Compute  $T$ .
  2. Find  $\tau$  such that  $|T| = t_{n-2, 1-\tau}$ .
  3. The  $p$ -value =  $\tau \times 2$ .
- ▶ Note that for all  $\alpha > p$ -value,

$$|T| = t_{n-2, 1-(p\text{-value})/2}$$

## The two-sided $p$ -value

- ▶ In order to find  $p$ -value:
  1. Compute  $T$ .
  2. Find  $\tau$  such that  $|T| = t_{n-2,1-\tau}$ .
  3. The  $p$ -value =  $\tau \times 2$ .
- ▶ Note that for all  $\alpha > p$ -value,

$$|T| = t_{n-2,1-(p\text{-value})/2} > t_{n-2,1-\alpha/2}$$

and we will reject  $H_0$ .

## The two-sided $p$ -value

- ▶ In order to find  $p$ -value:
  1. Compute  $T$ .
  2. Find  $\tau$  such that  $|T| = t_{n-2,1-\tau}$ .
  3. The  $p$ -value =  $\tau \times 2$ .
- ▶ Note that for all  $\alpha > p$ -value,

$$|T| = t_{n-2,1-(p\text{-value})/2} > t_{n-2,1-\alpha/2}$$

and we will reject  $H_0$ .

- ▶ For all  $\alpha \leq p$ -value,

## The two-sided $p$ -value

- ▶ In order to find  $p$ -value:
  1. Compute  $T$ .
  2. Find  $\tau$  such that  $|T| = t_{n-2,1-\tau}$ .
  3. The  $p$ -value =  $\tau \times 2$ .
- ▶ Note that for all  $\alpha > p$ -value,

$$|T| = t_{n-2,1-(p\text{-value})/2} > t_{n-2,1-\alpha/2}$$

and we will reject  $H_0$ .

- ▶ For all  $\alpha \leq p$ -value,

$$|T| = t_{n-2,1-(p\text{-value})/2}$$

## The two-sided $p$ -value

- ▶ In order to find  $p$ -value:
  1. Compute  $T$ .
  2. Find  $\tau$  such that  $|T| = t_{n-2,1-\tau}$ .
  3. The  $p$ -value =  $\tau \times 2$ .
- ▶ Note that for all  $\alpha > p$ -value,

$$|T| = t_{n-2,1-(p\text{-value})/2} > t_{n-2,1-\alpha/2}$$

and we will reject  $H_0$ .

- ▶ For all  $\alpha \leq p$ -value,

$$|T| = t_{n-2,1-(p\text{-value})/2} \leq t_{n-2,1-\alpha/2}$$

and we will accept  $H_0$ .

## Example of $p$ -value calculation

Suppose a regression with 19 observations produced the following output:

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95%
y					
x	-.6725304	.5804943	-1.16	0.263	-1.89
_cons	10.18197	.2509365	40.58	0.000	9.65

- ▶ Here,  $\hat{\beta}_1 = -0.6725$ ,  $\beta_{1,0} = 0$ , and in the 4th column  $t = -0.6725/0.5804 = -1.16$ .
- ▶ Thus,  $|T| = 1.16$  and  $df=17$ .
- ▶ From the  $t$ -table, the closest critical value is  $t_{17,1-0.15} = 1.069$ . (The probability that a random variable with  $t_{17}$ -distribution lies on the right of 1.16 is  $\approx 0.15$ .)
- ▶ The  $p$ -value is then  $\approx 0.15 \times 2 = 0.300$ .

- ▶ We can compute critical values and  $p$ -values using Stata instead of using the tables.

- ▶ We can compute critical values and  $p$ -values using Stata instead of using the tables.
- ▶ To compute standard normal critical values use:

display invnormal( $\tau$ ),

were  $\tau$  is a number between 0 and 1.

- ▶ We can compute critical values and  $p$ -values using Stata instead of using the tables.
- ▶ To compute standard normal critical values use:

`display invnormal( $\tau$ ),`

were  $\tau$  is a number between 0 and 1.

- ▶ For example: `display invnormal(1-0.05/2)` produces 1.959964.

- ▶ We can compute critical values and  $p$ -values using Stata instead of using the tables.
- ▶ To compute standard normal critical values use:

`display invnormal( $\tau$ ),`

where  $\tau$  is a number between 0 and 1.

- ▶ For example: `display invnormal(1-0.05/2)` produces 1.959964.
- ▶ For  $t$  critical values use

`display invttail( $df$ ,  $\tau$ ),`

where  $df$  is the number of degrees of freedom and  $\tau$  is a number between 0 and 1.

- ▶ We can compute critical values and  $p$ -values using Stata instead of using the tables.
- ▶ To compute standard normal critical values use:

`display invnormal( $\tau$ ),`

where  $\tau$  is a number between 0 and 1.

- ▶ For example: `display invnormal(1-0.05/2)` produces 1.959964.
- ▶ For  $t$  critical values use

`display invttail( $df$ ,  $\tau$ ),`

where  $df$  is the number of degrees of freedom and  $\tau$  is a number between 0 and 1.

**Note that here  $\tau$  is the right-tail probability!**

- ▶ For example, `display invttail(62,0.05/2)` produces 1.9989715.

- ▶ To compute two-sided normal  $p$ -values use:

display  $2 * (1 - \text{normal}(T))$  .

- ▶ To compute two-sided normal  $p$ -values use:

`display 2 * (1-normal (  $T$  )) .`

- ▶ For example, `display 2*(1-normal(1.96))` produces 0.04999579.

- ▶ To compute two-sided normal  $p$ -values use:

display 2 \* (1-normal (  $T$  ) ) .

- ▶ For example, display 2\*(1-normal(1.96)) produces 0.04999579.
- ▶ To compute two-sided  $t$ -distribution  $p$ -values, use

display 2\* (ttail( $df$ ,  $T$ )) ,

Note that `ttail` gives the right tail probabilities!

- ▶ To compute two-sided normal  $p$ -values use:

`display 2 * (1-normal ( T ) ) .`

- ▶ For example, `display 2*(1-normal(1.96))` produces 0.04999579.
- ▶ To compute two-sided  $t$ -distribution  $p$ -values, use

`display 2* (ttail(df, T)) ,`

**Note that `ttail` gives the right tail probabilities!**

- ▶ For example, `display 2*(ttail(62, 1.96))` produces 0.05449415.

# Example

```
. regress rent avginc
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	347069.249	1	347069.249			
Residual	274693.188	62	4430.53529			
Total	621762.438	63	9869.24504			

  

rent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
avginc	.01158	.0013084	8.85	0.000	.0089646 .0141954
_cons	148.7764	32.09787	4.64	0.000	84.6137 212.9392

```
Number of obs = 64  
F( 1, 62) = 78.34  
Prob > F = 0.0000  
R-squared = 0.5582  
Adj R-squared = 0.5511  
Root MSE = 66.562
```

## Example

```
. regress rent avginc
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	347069.249	1	347069.249	Number of obs =	64	
Residual	274693.188	62	4430.53529	F( 1, 62) =	78.34	
Total	621762.438	63	9869.24504	Prob > F =	0.0000	
				R-squared =	0.5582	
				Adj R-squared =	0.5511	
				Root MSE =	66.562	

  

rent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
avginc	.01158	.0013084	8.85	0.000	.0089646	.0141954
_cons	148.7764	32.09787	4.64	0.000	84.6137	212.9392

- ▶ Stata report the  $t$ -statistics and the  $p$ -value for  $H_0 : \beta = 0$ .

## Example

```
. regress rent avginc
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	347069.249	1	347069.249	Number of obs =	64	
Residual	274693.188	62	4430.53529	F( 1, 62) =	78.34	
Total	621762.438	63	9869.24504	Prob > F =	0.0000	
				R-squared =	0.5582	
				Adj R-squared =	0.5511	
				Root MSE =	66.562	

  

rent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
avginc	.01158	.0013084	8.85	0.000	.0089646	.0141954
_cons	148.7764	32.09787	4.64	0.000	84.6137	212.9392

- ▶ Stata report the  $t$ -statistics and the  $p$ -value for  $H_0 : \beta = 0$ .
- ▶ To test  $H_0$  whether the coefficient of AvgInc is zero

## Example

```
. regress rent avginc
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	347069.249	1	347069.249	Number of obs =	64	
Residual	274693.188	62	4430.53529	F( 1, 62) =	78.34	
Total	621762.438	63	9869.24504	Prob > F =	0.0000	
				R-squared =	0.5582	
				Adj R-squared =	0.5511	
				Root MSE =	66.562	

  

rent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
avginc	.01158	.0013084	8.85	0.000	.0089646	.0141954
_cons	148.7764	32.09787	4.64	0.000	84.6137	212.9392

- ▶ Stata report the  $t$ -statistics and the  $p$ -value for  $H_0 : \beta = 0$ .
- ▶ To test  $H_0$  whether the coefficient of AvgInc is zero :  
 $T = 0.01158/0.0013084$

## Example

```
. regress rent avginc
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	347069.249	1	347069.249			
Residual	274693.188	62	4430.53529			
Total	621762.438	63	9869.24504			

  

rent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
avginc	.01158	.0013084	8.85	0.000	.0089646 .0141954
_cons	148.7764	32.09787	4.64	0.000	84.6137 212.9392

```
Number of obs = 64  
F( 1, 62) = 78.34  
Prob > F = 0.0000  
R-squared = 0.5582  
Adj R-squared = 0.5511  
Root MSE = 66.562
```

- ▶ Stata report the  $t$ -statistics and the  $p$ -value for  $H_0 : \beta = 0$ .
- ▶ To test  $H_0$  whether the coefficient of AvgInc is zero :  
 $T = 0.01158/0.0013084 = 8.85$ .
- ▶ The  $p$ -value is extremely close to zero

## Example

```
. regress rent avginc
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	347069.249	1	347069.249			
Residual	274693.188	62	4430.53529			
Total	621762.438	63	9869.24504			

  

rent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
avginc	.01158	.0013084	8.85	0.000	.0089646 .0141954
_cons	148.7764	32.09787	4.64	0.000	84.6137 212.9392

```
Number of obs = 64  
F( 1, 62) = 78.34  
Prob > F = 0.0000  
R-squared = 0.5582  
Adj R-squared = 0.5511  
Root MSE = 66.562
```

- ▶ Stata report the  $t$ -statistics and the  $p$ -value for  $H_0 : \beta = 0$ .
- ▶ To test  $H_0$  whether the coefficient of AvgInc is zero :  
 $T = 0.01158/0.0013084 = 8.85$ .
- ▶ The  $p$ -value is extremely close to zero, (display  $2*(ttail(62, 8.85))$  gives  $1.345 \times 10^{-12}$ )

## Example

```
. regress rent avginc
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	347069.249	1	347069.249			
Residual	274693.188	62	4430.53529			
Total	621762.438	63	9869.24504			

  

rent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
avginc	.01158	.0013084	8.85	0.000	.0089646 .0141954
_cons	148.7764	32.09787	4.64	0.000	84.6137 212.9392

```
Number of obs = 64  
F( 1, 62) = 78.34  
Prob > F = 0.0000  
R-squared = 0.5582  
Adj R-squared = 0.5511  
Root MSE = 66.562
```

- ▶ Stata report the  $t$ -statistics and the  $p$ -value for  $H_0 : \beta = 0$ .
- ▶ To test  $H_0$  whether the coefficient of AvgInc is zero :  
 $T = 0.01158/0.0013084 = 8.85$ .
- ▶ The  $p$ -value is extremely close to zero, (display  $2*(\text{ttail}(62, 8.85))$  gives  $1.345 \times 10^{-12}$ ), so for all reasonable significance levels  $\alpha$

## Example

```
. regress rent avginc
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	347069.249	1	347069.249			
Residual	274693.188	62	4430.53529			
Total	621762.438	63	9869.24504			

  

rent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
avginc	.01158	.0013084	8.85	0.000	.0089646 .0141954
_cons	148.7764	32.09787	4.64	0.000	84.6137 212.9392

```
Number of obs = 64  
F( 1, 62) = 78.34  
Prob > F = 0.0000  
R-squared = 0.5582  
Adj R-squared = 0.5511  
Root MSE = 66.562
```

- ▶ Stata report the  $t$ -statistics and the  $p$ -value for  $H_0 : \beta = 0$ .
- ▶ To test  $H_0$  whether the coefficient of AvgInc is zero :  
 $T = 0.01158/0.0013084 = 8.85$ .
- ▶ The  $p$ -value is extremely close to zero, (display  $2*(\text{ttail}(62, 8.85))$  gives  $1.345 \times 10^{-12}$ ), so for all reasonable significance levels  $\alpha$ , we reject  $H_0$  that the coefficient of AvgInc is zero.
- ▶ AvgInc is a **statistically significant** regressor.

## Example (continued)

rent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
avginc	.01158	.0013084	8.85	0.000	.0089646	.0141954
_cons	148.7764	32.09787	4.64	0.000	84.6137	212.9392

- ▶ Consider now testing  $H_0$  that the coefficient of AvgInc is 0.009 against the alternative that it is different from 0.009.
- ▶  $T =$

## Example (continued)

rent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
avginc	.01158	.0013084	8.85	0.000	.0089646	.0141954
_cons	148.7764	32.09787	4.64	0.000	84.6137	212.9392

- ▶ Consider now testing  $H_0$  that the coefficient of AvgInc is 0.009 against the alternative that it is different from 0.009.
- ▶  $T = (0.01158 - 0.009) / 0.0013084 \approx 1.97$ .

## Example (continued)

rent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
avginc	.01158	.0013084	8.85	0.000	.0089646	.0141954
_cons	148.7764	32.09787	4.64	0.000	84.6137	212.9392

- ▶ Consider now testing  $H_0$  that the coefficient of AvgInc is 0.009 against the alternative that it is different from 0.009.
- ▶  $T = (0.01158 - 0.009) / 0.0013084 \approx 1.97$ .
- ▶ At 5% significance level,  $t_{62,0.975} \approx 1.999 > T$  and we

## Example (continued)

rent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
avginc	.01158	.0013084	8.85	0.000	.0089646	.0141954
_cons	148.7764	32.09787	4.64	0.000	84.6137	212.9392

- ▶ Consider now testing  $H_0$  that the coefficient of AvgInc is 0.009 against the alternative that it is different from 0.009.
- ▶  $T = (0.01158 - 0.009) / 0.0013084 \approx 1.97$ .
- ▶ At 5% significance level,  $t_{62,0.975} \approx 1.999 > T$  and we accept  $H_0$ .

## Example (continued)

rent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
avginc	.01158	.0013084	8.85	0.000	.0089646	.0141954
_cons	148.7764	32.09787	4.64	0.000	84.6137	212.9392

- ▶ Consider now testing  $H_0$  that the coefficient of AvgInc is 0.009 against the alternative that it is different from 0.009.
- ▶  $T = (0.01158 - 0.009) / 0.0013084 \approx 1.97$ .
- ▶ At 5% significance level,  $t_{62,0.975} \approx 1.999 > T$  and we accept  $H_0$ .
- ▶ At 10% significance level,  $t_{62,0.95} \approx 1.67 < T$  and we

## Example (continued)

rent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
avginc	.01158	.0013084	8.85	0.000	.0089646	.0141954
_cons	148.7764	32.09787	4.64	0.000	84.6137	212.9392

- ▶ Consider now testing  $H_0$  that the coefficient of AvgInc is 0.009 against the alternative that it is different from 0.009.
- ▶  $T = (0.01158 - 0.009) / 0.0013084 \approx 1.97$ .
- ▶ At 5% significance level,  $t_{62,0.975} \approx 1.999 > T$  and we accept  $H_0$ .
- ▶ At 10% significance level,  $t_{62,0.95} \approx 1.67 < T$  and we reject  $H_0$ .

## Example (continued)

rent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
avginc	.01158	.0013084	8.85	0.000	.0089646	.0141954
_cons	148.7764	32.09787	4.64	0.000	84.6137	212.9392

- ▶ Consider now testing  $H_0$  that the coefficient of AvgInc is 0.009 against the alternative that it is different from 0.009.
- ▶  $T = (0.01158 - 0.009) / 0.0013084 \approx 1.97$ .
- ▶ At 5% significance level,  $t_{62,0.975} \approx 1.999 > T$  and we accept  $H_0$ .
- ▶ At 10% significance level,  $t_{62,0.95} \approx 1.67 < T$  and we reject  $H_0$ .
- ▶ The two sided  $p$ -value is  $2*(\text{ttail}(62, 1.97)) \implies \approx 0.053$ .

## Example (continued)

rent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
avginc	.01158	.0013084	8.85	0.000	.0089646	.0141954
_cons	148.7764	32.09787	4.64	0.000	84.6137	212.9392

- ▶ Consider now testing  $H_0$  that the coefficient of AvgInc is 0.009 against the alternative that it is different from 0.009.
- ▶  $T = (0.01158 - 0.009) / 0.0013084 \approx 1.97$ .
- ▶ At 5% significance level,  $t_{62,0.975} \approx 1.999 > T$  and we accept  $H_0$ .
- ▶ At 10% significance level,  $t_{62,0.95} \approx 1.67 < T$  and we reject  $H_0$ .
- ▶ The two sided  $p$ -value is  $2*(\text{ttail}(62, 1.97)) \implies \approx 0.053$ .
- ▶ For  $\alpha \leq 0.053$  we will accept  $H_0$  and for  $\alpha > 0.053$  we will reject  $H_0$ .

## Confidence intervals and hypothesis testing

---

- ▶ There is one-to-one correspondence between confidence intervals and hypothesis testing.

## Confidence intervals and hypothesis testing

- ▶ There is one-to-one correspondence between confidence intervals and hypothesis testing.
- ▶ We cannot reject  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_{1,0}$  against a two-sided alternative if  $|T| \leq t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2}$

## Confidence intervals and hypothesis testing

- ▶ There is one-to-one correspondence between confidence intervals and hypothesis testing.
- ▶ We cannot reject  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_{1,0}$  against a two-sided alternative if  $|T| \leq t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2}$  or if and only if:

$$-t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2} \leq \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} \leq t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2}$$

$\Leftrightarrow$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 - t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)} \leq \beta_{1,0} \leq \hat{\beta}_1 + t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}$$

$\Leftrightarrow$

$$\beta_{1,0} \in CI_{1-\alpha}.$$

## Confidence intervals and hypothesis testing

- ▶ There is one-to-one correspondence between confidence intervals and hypothesis testing.
- ▶ We cannot reject  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_{1,0}$  against a two-sided alternative if  $|T| \leq t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2}$  or if and only if:

$$-t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2} \leq \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} \leq t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2}$$

$$\iff$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 - t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)} \leq \beta_{1,0} \leq \hat{\beta}_1 + t_{n-2, 1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}$$

$$\iff$$

$$\beta_{1,0} \in CI_{1-\alpha}.$$

- ▶ Thus, for any  $\beta_{1,0} \in CI_{1-\alpha}$ , we **cannot reject**  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 \neq \beta_{1,0}$  at significance level  $\alpha$ .

## Example

```
. regress rent avginc
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	347069.249	1	347069.249	Number of obs =	64	
Residual	274693.188	62	4430.53529	F( 1, 62) =	78.34	
				Prob > F =	0.0000	
				R-squared =	0.5582	
				Adj R-squared =	0.5511	
				Root MSE =	66.562	
Total	621762.438	63	9869.24504			

  

rent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
avginc	.01158	.0013084	8.85	0.000	.0089646	.0141954
_cons	148.7764	32.09787	4.64	0.000	84.6137	212.9392

- ▶ The 95% confidence interval for the coefficient of AvgInc is [0.0089646,0.0141954].

## Example

```
. regress rent avginc
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	347069.249	1	347069.249	Number of obs =	64	
Residual	274693.188	62	4430.53529	F( 1, 62) =	78.34	
				Prob > F =	0.0000	
				R-squared =	0.5582	
				Adj R-squared =	0.5511	
				Root MSE =	66.562	
Total	621762.438	63	9869.24504			

  

rent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
avginc	.01158	.0013084	8.85	0.000	.0089646	.0141954
_cons	148.7764	32.09787	4.64	0.000	84.6137	212.9392

- ▶ The 95% confidence interval for the coefficient of AvgInc is [0.0089646,0.0141954].
- ▶ A significance level 5% test of  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 \neq \beta_{1,0}$  will not reject  $H_0$  if  $\beta_{1,0} \in [0.0089646, 0.0141954]$ .

## One-sided tests

---

- ▶ Consider testing  $H_0 : \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 > \beta_{1,0}$ .

## One-sided tests

- ▶ Consider testing  $H_0 : \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 > \beta_{1,0}$ .
- ▶ It is reasonable to reject  $H_0$  when  $\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}$  is large and positive

## One-sided tests

- ▶ Consider testing  $H_0 : \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 > \beta_{1,0}$ .
- ▶ It is reasonable to reject  $H_0$  when  $\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}$  is large and positive or when

$$T = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} > c_{1-\alpha}$$

where  $c_{1-\alpha}$  is a positive constant.

- ▶ The null hypothesis  $H_0$  is **composite**. The probability of rejection under  $H_0$  depends on  $\beta_1$ .

## One-sided tests

- ▶ Consider testing  $H_0 : \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 > \beta_{1,0}$ .
- ▶ It is reasonable to reject  $H_0$  when  $\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}$  is large and positive or when

$$T = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} > c_{1-\alpha}$$

where  $c_{1-\alpha}$  is a positive constant.

- ▶ The null hypothesis  $H_0$  is **composite**. The probability of rejection under  $H_0$  depends on  $\beta_1$ .
- ▶ We pick the critical value  $c_{1-\alpha}$  so that

$$P \left( \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} > c_{1-\alpha} \mid \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0} \right) \leq \alpha$$

for all  $\beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}$ .

## One-sided tests

- ▶ For all  $\beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}$ ,

$$\frac{\beta_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} \leq 0,$$

## One-sided tests

- ▶ For all  $\beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}$ ,

$$\frac{\beta_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} \leq 0,$$

and

$$P\left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} > c_{1-\alpha} \mid \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}\right)$$

## One-sided tests

- ▶ For **all**  $\beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}$ ,

$$\frac{\beta_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} \leq 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & P\left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} > c_{1-\alpha} \mid \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}\right) \\ &= P\left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} + \frac{\beta_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} > c_{1-\alpha} \mid \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}\right) \end{aligned}$$

## One-sided tests

- For all  $\beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}$ ,

$$\frac{\beta_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} \leq 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & P\left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} > c_{1-\alpha} \mid \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}\right) \\ &= P\left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} + \frac{\beta_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} > c_{1-\alpha} \mid \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}\right) \\ &\leq P\left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} > c_{1-\alpha} \mid \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}\right) \end{aligned}$$

## One-sided tests

- For all  $\beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}$ ,

$$\frac{\beta_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} \leq 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & P\left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} > c_{1-\alpha} \mid \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}\right) \\ &= P\left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} + \frac{\beta_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} > c_{1-\alpha} \mid \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}\right) \\ &\leq P\left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} > c_{1-\alpha} \mid \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}\right) \\ &= \alpha \text{ if } c_{1-\alpha} = t_{n-2, 1-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

## One-sided tests

- ▶ For size  $\alpha$  test, we reject  $H_0 : \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 > \beta_{1,0}$  when

$$T = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} > t_{n-2, 1-\alpha}.$$

where  $t_{n-2, 1-\alpha}$  is the critical value corresponding to  $t$ -distribution with  $n - 2$  degrees of freedom.

## One-sided tests

- ▶ For size  $\alpha$  test, we reject  $H_0 : \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 > \beta_{1,0}$  when

$$T = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} > t_{n-2, 1-\alpha}.$$

where  $t_{n-2, 1-\alpha}$  is the critical value corresponding to  $t$ -distribution with  $n - 2$  degrees of freedom.

- ▶ Note that we use  $1 - \alpha$  and not  $1 - \alpha/2$  for choosing critical values in the case of one-sided testing.

## One-sided tests

- ▶ For size  $\alpha$  test, we reject  $H_0 : \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 > \beta_{1,0}$  when

$$T = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} > t_{n-2,1-\alpha}.$$

where  $t_{n-2,1-\alpha}$  is the critical value corresponding to  $t$ -distribution with  $n - 2$  degrees of freedom.

- ▶ Note that we use  $1 - \alpha$  and not  $1 - \alpha/2$  for choosing critical values in the case of one-sided testing.
- ▶ For size  $\alpha$  test, we reject  $H_0 : \beta_1 \geq \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 < \beta_{1,0}$  when

$$T = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_{1,0}}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}} < -t_{n-2,1-\alpha}.$$

## One-sided tests

---

- ▶ One-sided  $p$ -values for  $H_0 : \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 > \beta_{1,0}$

## One-sided tests

---

- ▶ One-sided  $p$ -values for  $H_0 : \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 > \beta_{1,0}$  :
  1. Compute  $T$ .

## One-sided tests

---

- ▶ One-sided  $p$ -values for  $H_0 : \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 > \beta_{1,0}$  :
  1. Compute  $T$ .
  2. Find  $\tau$  such that  $T = t_{n-2, 1-\tau}$ .

## One-sided tests

- ▶ One-sided  $p$ -values for  $H_0 : \beta_1 \leq \beta_{1,0}$  against  $H_1 : \beta_1 > \beta_{1,0}$  :
  1. Compute  $T$ .
  2. Find  $\tau$  such that  $T = t_{n-2, 1-\tau}$ .
  3. The  $p$ -value =  $\tau$ .