Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Setur

Simultaneit

Selection

References

Estimating Production Functions Introduction

Paul Schrimpf

UBC Economics 567

January 9, 2025

setup

Simultaneit

Selection

Reference

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Setup
- 3 Simultaneity
 Instrumental variables
 Control functions
 Panel data
 Fixed effects
 Dynamic panel
 Applications
- 4 Selection
 OP and selection

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Setup

Simultanei

Selection

References

Section 1

Introduction

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Setup

imultane

Salaction

Reference

Why estimate production functions?

- Primitive component of economic model
- Gives estimate of firm productivity useful for understanding economic growth
 - Stylized facts to inform theory, e.g. Foster, Haltiwanger, and Krizan (2001)
 - Effect of deregulation, e.g. Olley and Pakes (1996)
 - Growth within old firms vs from entry of new firms, e.g.
 Foster, Haltiwanger, and Krizan (2006)
 - Effect of trade liberalization, e.g. Amiti and Konings (2007)
 - Effect of FDI Javorcik (2004)

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Setur

Simultanei

Selection

References

General references:

- Aguirregabiria (2021) chapter 3
- De Loecker and Syverson (2021)
- Ackerberg et al. (2007) section 2
- Van Beveren (2012)

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Setup

Simultaneit

Selection

References

Section 2

Setup

References

Setup

Cobb Douglas production

$$Y_{it} = A_{it} K_{it}^{\beta_k} L_{it}^{\beta_\ell}$$

In logs,

$$y_{it} = \beta_k k_{it} + \beta_\ell I_{it} + \omega_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

with $\log A_{it} = \omega_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$, ω_{it} known to firm, ϵ_{it} not

Paul Schrimpf

Introductio

Setup

Simultane

Selection

References

Empirical Challenges

- **1** Simultaneity: if firm has information about $\log A_{it}$ when choosing inputs, then inputs correlated with $\log A_{it}$
- Selection: firms with low productivity will exit sooner
- 3 Others: measurement error, specification

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Setup

Simultaneity

variables Control function Panel data

Selection

References

Section 3

Simultaneity

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Setup

Simultaneity

Instrumental variables Control functions Panel data

Selection

Simultaneity

- Firm's inputs will be correlated with firm's knowledge of productivity
- E.g. output price p, wage w, choosing L given K

$$\max_{L} p E[A] K_k^{\beta} L_{\ell}^{\beta} - wL$$

implies

$$L = \left(\frac{p}{w}\beta_{\ell}\mathsf{E}[A]K^{\beta_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta_{\ell}}}$$

or in logs,

$$I = \frac{1}{1 - \beta_{\ell}} \log \left(\frac{p}{w} \beta_{\ell} \right) + \frac{\beta_{k}}{1 - \beta_{\ell}} k + \frac{1}{1 - \beta_{\ell}} \log \left(\mathbb{E}[A] \right)$$

- I correlated with productivity through correlation of log A and log(E[A])
- Exercise: calculate bias of $\hat{\beta}_{\ell}^{OLS}$ (with some further assumptions)

Paul Schrimpf

Introductio

Setup

Simultaneity

variables

Panel data

Application

Salactio

References

Simultaneity solutions

- 1V
- 2 Control functions
- 3 Panel data and timing assumptions

Paul Schrimpf

Introductio

Setup

Instrumental

Control function

Applications

Selection

References

Instrumental variables

- Instrument must be
 - Correlated with k and l
 - Uncorrelated with $\omega + \epsilon$
- Possible instrument: input prices
 - Correlated with *k*, *l* through first-order condition
 - ullet Uncorrelated with ω if input market competitive
- Other possible instruments: output prices (more often endogenous), input supply or output demand shifter (hard to find)

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Setu

Simultaneity
Instrumental
variables
Control function

Panel data
Applications

Selection

References

Problems with input prices as IV

- Not available in many data sets
- Average input price of firm could reflect quality as well as price differences
- Need variation across observations
 - If firms use homogeneous inputs, and operate in the same output and input markets, we should not expect to find any significant cross-sectional variation in input prices
 - If firms have different input markets, maybe variation in input prices, but different prices could be due to different average productivity across input markets
 - Variation across time is potentially endogenous because could be driven by time series variation in average productivity

Paul Schrimpf

Introductio

Setup

Simultanei

Control functions

Panel data

Selection

Control functions

- From Olley and Pakes (1996) (OP)
- Control function: function of data conditional on which endogeneity problem solved
 - E.g. usual 2SLS $y = x\beta + \epsilon$, $x = z\pi + v$, control function is to estimate residual of reduced form, \hat{v} and then regress y on x and \hat{v} . \hat{v} is the control function
- Main idea: model choice of inputs to find a control function

Estimating Production Functions Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Setup

Simultaneit Instrumental variables

Control functions
Panel data
Applications

Selection

References

OP assumptions

$$y_{it} = \beta_k k_{it} + \beta_\ell I_{it} + \omega_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

1 ω_{it} follows exogenous first order Markov process,

$$p(\omega_{it+1}|\mathcal{I}_{it}) = p(\omega_{it+1}|\omega_{it})$$

2 Capital at t determined by investment at time t-1,

$$k_t = (1 - \delta)k_{it-1} + i_{it-1}$$

 ${f 3}$ Investment is a function of ω and other observed variables

$$i_{it} = I_t(k_{it}, \omega_{it}),$$

and is strictly increasing in ω_{it}

4 Labor flexible and non-dynamic, i.e. chosen each *t*, current choice has no effect on future (can be relaxed)

Paul Schrimpf

Introductio

Setup

Simultane Instrumental

Control functions

Annlications

пррисциона

Selection

References

OP estimation of β_{ℓ}

• Invertible investment implies $\omega_{it} = I_t^{-1}(k_{it}, i_{it})$

$$y_{it} = \beta_k k_{it} + \beta_\ell I_{it} + I_t^{-1}(k_{it}, I_{it}) + \epsilon_{it}$$

= $\beta_\ell I_{it} + f_t(k_{it}, I_{it}) + \epsilon_{it}$

- Partially linear model
 - Estimate by e.g. regress y_{it} on l_{it} and series functions of t, k_{it}, i_{it}
 - Gives $\hat{\beta}_l$, $\hat{f}_{it} = \hat{f}_t(k_{it}, i_{it})$

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Setu

Instrumental variables

Control functions

Applications

Selection

OP estimation of β_k

- Note: $\hat{f}_t(k_{it}, i_{it}) = \hat{\omega}_{it} + \beta_k k_{it}$
- By assumptions, $\omega_{it} = \mathbb{E}[\omega_{it}|\omega_{it-1}] + \xi_{it} = g(\omega_{it-1}) + \xi_{it}$ with $\mathbb{E}[\xi_{it}|k_{it}] = 0$
- Use $E[\xi_{it}|k_{it}] = 0$ as moment to estimate β_k .
 - OP: write production function as

$$y_{it} - \beta_{\ell} I_{it} = \beta_k k_{it} + g(\omega_{it-1}) + \xi_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

$$= \beta_k k_{it} + g(f_{it-1} - \beta_k k_{it-1}) + \xi_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

Use $\hat{\beta}_l$ and \hat{f}_{it} in equation above and estimate $\hat{\beta}_k$ by e.g. semi-parametric nonlinear least squares

• Ackerberg, Caves, and Frazer (2015): use $\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\zeta}_{it}(\beta_k)k_{it}\right]=0$

Fixed effects

Dynamic pa

Selection

Reference

Fixed effects

- Have panel data, so should consider fixed effects
- FE consistent if:
 - $\mathbf{1} \ \omega_{it} = \eta_i + \delta_t + \omega_{it}^*$
 - 2 ω_{it}^* uncorrelated with I_{it} and k_{it} , e.g. ω_{it}^* only known to firm after choosing inputs
 - 3 ω_{it}^* not serially correlated and is strictly exogenous
- Problems:
 - Fixed productivity a strong assumption
 - Estimates often small in practice
 - Worsens measurement error problems

$$\mathsf{Bias}(\hat{\beta}_k^{\mathsf{FE}}) \approx -\frac{\beta_k \mathsf{Var}(\Delta \epsilon)}{\mathsf{Var}(\Delta k) + \mathsf{Var}(\Delta \epsilon)}$$

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Setup

Simultaneity
Instrumental
variables
Control functions
Panel data
Fixed effects

Dynamic panel

Colootio

Reference

Dynamic panel: motivation 1

- General idea: relax fixed effects assumption, but still exploit panel
- Collinearity problem: Cobb-Douglas production, flexible labor and capital implies log labor and log capital are linear functions of prices and productivity (Bond and Söderbom (2005))
- If observed labor and capital are not collinear then there must be something unobserved that varies across firms (e.g. prices), but that could invalidate monotonicity assumption of control function

Paul Schrimpf

Introductio

Setu

Instrumental variables
Control functions

Panel data
Fixed effects

Dynamic panel

Application

Selectio

Reference

Dynamic panel: moment conditions

- See Blundell and Bond (2000)
- Assume $\omega_{it} = \gamma_t + \eta_i + \nu_{it}$ with $\nu_{it} = \rho \nu_{i,t-1} + e_{it}$, so

$$y_{it} = \beta_{\ell}I_{it} + \beta_{k}k_{it} + \gamma_{t} + \eta_{i} + \nu_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

subtract $\rho y_{i,t-1}$ and rearrange to get

$$y_{it} = \rho y_{i,t-1} + \beta_{\ell} (I_{it} - \rho I_{i,t-1}) + \beta_{k} (k_{it} - \rho k_{i,t-1}) +$$

$$+ \gamma_{t} - \rho \gamma_{t-1} + \underbrace{\eta_{i} (1 - \rho)}_{=\eta_{i}^{*}} + \underbrace{e_{it} + \epsilon_{it} - \rho \epsilon_{i,t-1}}_{=w_{it}}$$

- Moment conditions:
 - Difference: $E[x_{i,t-s}\Delta w_{it}] = 0$ where x = (l, k, y)
 - Level: $E[\Delta x_{i,t-s}(\eta_i^* + w_{it})] = 0$

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Setu

Simultanei

Instrumental variables

Panel data
Fixed effects

Dynamic panel

Applicatio

Selectio

Reference

Dynamic panel: economic model 1

Adjustment costs

$$\begin{split} V(K_{t-1}, L_{t-1}) &= \max_{I_t, K_t, H_t, L_t} P_t F_t(K_t, L_t) - P_t^K (I_t + G_t(I_t, K_{t-1})) - \\ &- W_t \left(L_t + C_t(H_t, L_{t-1}) \right) + \\ \psi \mathbb{E} \left[V(K_t, L_t) | \mathcal{I}_t \right] \\ \text{s.t. } K_t &= (1 - \delta_k) K_{t-1} + I_t \\ L_t &= (1 - \delta_l) L_{t-1} + H_t \end{split}$$

Implies

$$P_{t} \frac{\partial F_{t}}{\partial L_{t}} - W_{t} \frac{\partial C_{t}}{\partial L_{t}} = W_{t} + \lambda_{t}^{L} \left(1 - (1 - \delta_{l}) \psi \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\lambda_{t+1}^{L}}{\lambda_{t}^{L}} | \mathcal{I}_{t} \right] \right)$$

$$P_{t} \frac{\partial F_{t}}{\partial K_{t}} - P_{t}^{K} \frac{\partial G_{t}}{\partial K_{t}} = \lambda_{t}^{K} \left(1 - (1 - \delta_{k}) \psi \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\lambda_{t+1}^{K}}{\lambda_{t}^{K}} | \mathcal{I}_{t} \right] \right)$$

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Setup

Simultanei

Instrumental

variables

Control funct

Fixed effects

Dynamic panel

Application

Selectio

Reference

Dynamic panel: economic model 2

- Current productivity shifts $\frac{\partial F_t}{\partial L_t}$ and (if correlated with future) the shadow value of future labor $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\lambda_{t+1}^L}{\lambda_t^L}|\mathcal{I}_t\right]$
- Past labor correlated with current because of adjustment costs

Paul Schrimpf

Introductio

Setu

Simultanei

Instrumental variables
Control function

Fixed effects

Dynamic panel

Applicatio

Selectio

Reference

Dynamic panel data: problems

Problems:

- Sometimes imprecise (especially if only use difference moment conditions)
- Differencing worsens measurement error
- Weak instrument issues if only use difference moment conditions but levels stronger (see Blundell and Bond (2000))
 - Level moments require stronger stationarity assumption
 - η_i uncorrelated with Δx_{it}

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

setup

Instrumental variables
Control function

Panel data Fixed effects Dynamic panel

...

Reference

Dynamic panel vs control function

- Both derive moment conditions from assumptions about timing and information set of firm
- Dealing with ω
 - Dynamic panel: AR(1) assumption allows quasi-differencing
 - Control function: makes ω estimable function of observables
- Dynamic panel allows fixed effects, does not make assumptions about input demand
- Control function allows more flexible process for ω_{it}
- Ackerberg (2023) for detailed comparison

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Setu

Instrumental variables
Control functions
Panel data

Applications

Selectio

Doforon

Applications

- Olley and Pakes (1996): productivity in telecom after deregulation
- Söderbom, Teal, and Harding (2006): productivity and exit of African manufacturing firms, uses IV
- Levinsohn and Petrin (2003): compare estimation methods using Chilean data
- Javorcik (2004): FDI and productivity, uses OP
- Amiti and Konings (2007): trade liberalization in Indonesia, uses OP
- Aw, Chen, and Roberts (2001): productivity differentials and firm turnover in Taiwan
- Kortum and Lerner (2000): venture capital and innovation

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Setui

Simultaneit

Selection

OP and selection

References

Section 4

Selection

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Setup

Simultanei

Selection

OP and selectio

Reference

Selection

- Let $d_{it} = 1$ if firm in sample.
 - Standard conditions imply $d = 1\{\omega \ge \omega^*(k)\}$
- Messes up moment conditions
 - All estimators based on $E[\omega_{it}Something] = 0$, observed data really use $E[\omega_{it}Something|d_{it} = 1]$
 - E.g. OLS okay if $E[\omega_{it}|l_{it},k_{it}]=0$, but even then,

$$E[\omega_{it}|I_{it}, k_{it}, d_{it} = 1] = E[\omega_{it}|I_{it}, k_{it}, \omega_{it} \ge \omega^*(k_{it})]$$
$$= \lambda(k_{it}) \ne 0$$

• Selection bias negative, larger for capital than labor

References

Selection in OP model

- Estimate β_{ℓ} as above
- Write $d_{it} = 1\{\xi_{it} \le \omega^*(k_{it}) \rho(f_{i,t-1} \beta_k k_{it-1}) = h(k_{it}, f_{it-1}, k_{it-1})\}$
- Propensity score $P_{it} \equiv \mathbb{E}[d_{it}|k_{it},f_{it-1},k_{it-1}]$
- Similar to before estimate β_k , from

$$y_{it} - \beta_{\ell} I_{it} = \beta_k k_{it} + \tilde{g} (f_{it-1} - \beta_k k_{it-1}, P_{it}) + \xi_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Cotup

Simultanei

Selection

References

Ackerberg, D., C. Lanier Benkard, S. Berry, and A. Pakes. 2007. "Econometric tools for analyzing market outcomes." Handbook of econometrics 6:4171-4276. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1573441207060631. Ungated URL http://people.stern.nyu.edu/acollard/Tools.pdf.

Ackerberg, Daniel A. 2023. "Timing Assumptions and Efficiency: Empirical Evidence in a Production Function Context." *The Journal of Industrial Economics* 71 (3):644-674. URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/joie.12340.

Ackerberg, Daniel A., Kevin Caves, and Garth Frazer. 2015. "Identification Properties of Recent Production Function Estimators." *Econometrica* 83 (6):2411–2451. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.3982/ECTA13408. Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Setu

Simultaneit

Selection

References

Aguirregabiria, Victor. 2021. "Empirical Industrial Organization: Models, Methods, and Applications." URL http:

//aguirregabiria.net/wpapers/book_dynamic_io.pdf.

Amiti, Mary and Jozef Konings. 2007. "Trade Liberalization, Intermediate Inputs, and Productivity: Evidence from Indonesia." *The American Economic Review* 97 (5):pp. 1611–1638. URL

http://www.jstor.org/stable/30034578.

Aw, Bee Yan, Xiaomin Chen, and Mark J. Roberts. 2001. "Firm-level evidence on productivity differentials and turnover in Taiwanese manufacturing." *Journal of Development Economics* 66 (1):51 – 86. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304387801001559.

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

at to

Colootio

References

Blundell, R. and S. Bond. 2000. "GMM estimation with persistent panel data: an application to production functions." *Econometric Reviews* 19 (3):321–340. URL http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/07474930008800475.

Bond, Steve and Måns Söderbom. 2005. "Adjustment costs and the identification of Cobb Douglas production functions." IFS Working Papers W05/04, Institute for Fiscal Studies. URL

http://ideas.repec.org/p/ifs/ifsewp/05-04.html.

De Loecker, Jan and Chad Syverson. 2021. "Chapter 3 - An industrial organization perspective on productivity." In Handbook of Industrial Organization, Volume 4, Handbook of Industrial Organization, vol. 4, edited by Kate Ho, Ali Hortaçsu, and Alessandro Lizzeri. Elsevier, 141–223. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1573448X21000030.

Estimating Production

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Satur

Simultaneit

Selection

References

Foster, L., J.C. Haltiwanger, and C.J. Krizan. 2001. "Aggregate productivity growth. Lessons from microeconomic evidence." In *New developments in productivity analysis*. University of Chicago Press, 303–372. URL http://www.nber.org/chapters/c10129.pdf.

Foster, Lucia, John Haltiwanger, and C. J. Krizan. 2006. "Market Selection, Reallocation, and Restructuring in the U.S. Retail Trade Sector in the 1990s." *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 88 (4):pp. 748–758. URL http://www.jstor.org/stable/40043032.

Javorcik, Beata Smarzynska. 2004. "Does Foreign Direct Investment Increase the Productivity of Domestic Firms? In Search of Spillovers through Backward Linkages." The American Economic Review 94 (3):pp. 605–627. URL http://www.jstor.org/stable/3592945.

Estimating Production

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Cimultanai

Selection

References

- Kortum, Samuel and Josh Lerner. 2000. "Assessing the Contribution of Venture Capital to Innovation." *The RAND Journal of Economics* 31 (4):pp. 674–692. URL http://www.jstor.org/stable/2696354.
- Levinsohn, James and Amil Petrin. 2003. "Estimating Production Functions Using Inputs to Control for Unobservables." *The Review of Economic Studies* 70 (2):pp. 317–341. URL http://www.jstor.org/stable/3648636.
- Olley, G.S. and A. Pakes. 1996. "The dynamics of productivity in the telecommunications equipment industry." Econometrica 64 (6):1263-1297. URL http://www.jstor.org/stable/2171831.
- Söderbom, Måns, Francis Teal, and Alan Harding. 2006. "The Determinants of Survival among African Manufacturing Firms." *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 54 (3):pp. 533-555. URL http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/500030.

Paul Schrimpf

Introduction

Setu

Simultaneit

Soloction

References

Van Beveren, I. 2012. "Total factor productivity estimation: a practical review." *Journal of Economic Surveys* URL http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j. 1467-6419.2010.00631.x/full.